#### § 52.325

(b) Delegation of authority: Pursuant to section 114 of the Act, Colorado requested a delegation of authority to enable it to require sources to install and maintain monitoring equipment and to report periodically on the nature and amount of their emissions. The Administrator has determined that Colorado is qualified to receive a delegation of the authority it requested. Accordingly, the Administrator delegates to Colorado his authority under section 114(a)(1)(B) and (C) of the Act, i.e., authority to require sources within the State of Colorado to install and maintain monitoring equipment and to report periodically on the nature and amount of their emissions.

[37 FR 10855, May 31, 1972, as amended at 46 FR 24182, Apr. 30, 1981; 51 FR 40676, Nov. 7, 1986; 62 FR 2914, Jan. 21, 1997]

#### §52.325 [Reserved]

## \$52.326 Area-wide nitrogen oxides $(NO_X)$ exemptions.

The Denver Regional Council of Governments (DRCOG) submitted a NO<sub>X</sub> exemption petition to the EPA on May 25, 1994 and submitted supporting documentation via a letter dated August 1, 1994. This petition requested that the Denver metropolitan area, a transitional ozone nonattainment area, be exempted from the requirement to meet the NO<sub>X</sub> provisions of the Federal transportation and general conformity rule with respect to ozone. The exemption request was based on monitoring data which demonstrated that the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for ozone had been attained in this area for the 3 years prior to the petition. The EPA approved this exemption request on July 28, 1995.

[60 FR 40291, Aug. 8, 1995]

#### §§ 52.327-52.328 [Reserved]

#### §52.329 Rules and regulations.

(a) On January 14, 1993, the Governor of Colorado submitted revisions to the State's nonattainment new source review permitting regulations to bring the State's regulations up to date with the 1990 Amendments to the Clean Air Act. With these revisions, the State's regulations satisfy the part D new source review permitting requirements

for the following nonattainment areas: the Canon City, Lamar, Pagosa Springs, Aspen, Telluride, and Steamboat Springs moderate  $PM_{10}$  nonattainment areas, the Denver/Metro Boulder, Longmont, Colorado Springs, and Fort Collins moderate carbon monoxide nonattainment areas, the Greeley not classified carbon monoxide nonattainment area, and the Denver transitional ozone nonattainment area.

(b) On January 14, 1993 and on August 25, 1994, the Governor of Colorado submitted revisions to the State's nonattainment new source review permitting regulations to bring the State's regulations up to date with the 1990 Amendments to the Clean Air Act. With these revisions, the State's regulations satisfy the part D new source review permitting requirements for the Denver metropolitan moderate PM-10 nonattainment area.

(c) A revision to the State Implementation Plan was submitted by the State of Colorado on July 31, 2002. The submittal revises the Common Provisions regulation by adding affirmative defense provisions for source owners and operators for excess emissions during periods of startup and shutdown. The affirmative defense provisions are contained in section II.J. As indicated in 40 CFR 52.320(c)(109), EPA approved the affirmative defense provisions contained in sections II.J.1 through II.J.4 of the Common Provisions regulation, adopted August 16, 2001 and effective September 30, 2001. Section II.J.5 of the Common Provisions regulation, adopted August 16, 2001 and effective September 30, 2001, is disapproved.

(d) On August 7, 2007, the Colorado submitted two packages with revisions to Colorado's Regulation 3 Regulation, 5 CCR 1001-5, Part A. One change adopts language to treat nitrogen dioxide as an ozone precursor. The State also adopted an increase in fees used to pay for the State's increased workload from the processing of Air Pollutant Emission Notices (APENs) and permits. Annual and permit processing fees shall be \$16.54 for regulated pollutants and \$114.96 for Hazardous Air Pollutants. One grammatical change was made to the text of Part A, Section 1.B.9.d:

- (1) Regulation 3, 5 CCR 1001-5, Air Contaminant Emissions Notices, Part A, Concerning General Provisions Applicable to Reporting and Permitting, Section I. Applicability, Section I.B.9.d, Applicable Requirement, effective October 2006: Any standard or other requirement under section 112 of the Federal Act (hazardous air pollutants, including any requirement concerning accident prevention under section 112(r)(7) of the Federal Act) (Regulation No. 8) but not including the contents of any risk management plan required under section 112(r) of the Federal Act.
- (2) Regulation 3, 5 CCR 1001-5, Air Contaminant Emissions Notices, Part A, Concerning General Provisions Applicable to Reporting and Permitting, Section I, Applicability, Section I.B.16, Criteria Pollutants, effective October 2006:
- (i) Those pollutants for which the U.S. EPA has established national ambient air quality standards, including: carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide (direct emissions and as a precursor to ozone), sulfur dioxide, PM10, total suspended particulate matter, ozone, volatile organic compounds (as a precursor to ozone), and lead.
- (ii) For the purpose of Air Pollutant Emission Notice reporting, criteria pollutants shall also include nitrogen oxides, fluorides, sulfuric acid mist, hydrogen sulfide, total reduced sulfur, reduced sulfur compounds, municipal waste combustor organics, municipal waste combustor metals, and municipal waste combustor acid gases.
- (3) Regulation 3, 5 CCR 1001-5, Air Contaminant Emissions Notices, Part A, Concerning General Provisions Applicable to Reporting and Permitting, Section VI Fees; Section VI.D.1, Fee Schedule, effective February 2007: Annual and permit processing fees shall be charged in accordance with and in the amounts specified in the provisions of Colorado Revised Statues section 25–7–114.7. Annual fees for regulated pollutants shall be \$16.54. Annual fees for hazardous air pollutants shall be \$114.96
- (e) The State of Colorado submitted revisions on September 16, 1997, June 20, 2003, July 11, 2005, August 8, 2006 and August 1, 2007 to Colorado's 5 CCR 1001—

- 5 Regulation Number 3, Part A, Section II. One of the revisions deleted two provisions from Section II and moved them to Section I of Regulation Number 3, Part A. The revised regulatory provisions read as follows:
- (1) 5 CCR 1001-5, Regulation 3, Stationary Source Permitting and Air Pollutant Emission Notice Requirements, Part A, Concerning General Provisions Applicable to Reporting and Permitting, Section I, Applicability, Section I.B.16, Criteria Pollutants,; effective June 30, 2004:
- (i) Those pollutants for which the U.S. EPA has established national ambient air quality standards, including: carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide, PM<sub>10</sub>, total suspended particulate matter, ozone, volatile organic compounds (as a precursor to ozone), and lead. For the purposes of Air Pollutant Emission Notice reporting, criteria pollutants shall also include nitrogen oxides, fluorides, sulfuric acid mist, hydrogen sulfide, total reduced sulfur, reduced sulfur compounds, municipal waste combustor organics, municipal waste combustor metals, and municipal waste combustor acid gases.
- (2) 5 CCR 1001-5, Regulation 3, Stationary Source Permitting and Air Pollutant Emission Notice Requirements, Part A, Concerning General Provisions Applicable to Reporting and Permitting, Section I, Applicability, Section I.B.43, Uncontrolled Actual Emissions; effective June 30, 2004:
- (i) The annual emission rate corresponding to the annual process rate listed on the Air Pollutant Emission Notice form, without consideration of any emission control equipment or procedures. The division may allow a source to forego calculating or estimating its uncontrolled actual emissions of hazardous air pollutants upon a showing by the source and a determination by the division that the creation of such data is unreasonably costly, technically impractical or not reasonably related to information necessary for making regulatory decisions

#### § 52.330

with respect to that source. The division's final determination may be appealed to the commission by the source

[59 FR 64336, Dec. 14, 1994, as amended at 62 FR 2914, Jan. 21, 1997; 62 FR 68195, Dec. 31, 1997; 71 FR 8961, Feb. 22, 2006; 76 FR 51905, Aug. 19, 2011; 76 FR 61056, Oct. 3, 2011]

## §52.330 Control strategy: Total suspended particulates.

- (a) Part D—Conditional Approval: The Pueblo plan is approved assuming the State demonstrates by December 31, 1981, through air quality modeling, attainment of the 24-hour and annual standards, while considering emissions from all sources in the nonattainment area. In addition, the State must repromulgate Regulation No. 1 to satisfy reasonably available control technology requirements in accordance with the following schedule:
- (1) The Commission will consider and adopt for public hearing any changes or additions to Regulation No. 1 by February 15, 1981.
- (2) The proposed regulations will be published in the Colorado Register by March 10, 1981.
- (3) Public hearing will be held by May 14, 1981.
- (4) Regulations will be approved with an effective date no later than July 1, 1981, and submitted to EPA by the same date.

[46 FR 26302, May 12, 1981]

# \$52.331 Committal SIP for the Colorado Group II $PM_{10}$ areas.

On April 14, 1989, the Governor submitted a Committal SIP for the Colorado Group II  $PM_{10}$  areas. The SIP commits the State to continue to monitor for  $PM_{10}$ , report data and to submit a full SIP if a violation of the  $PM_{10}$  National Ambient Air Quality Standards is detected.

[54 FR 43178, Oct. 23, 1989]

### §52.332 Control strategy: Particulate

(a) On April 9, 1992, the Governor of Colorado submitted the moderate PM-10 nonattainment area plan for the Canon City area. The submittal was made to satisfy those moderate PM-10 nonattainment area SIP requirements

which were due for Canon City on November 15, 1991.

- (b)(1) On February 24, 1992, and December 9, 1993, the Governor of Colorado submitted the moderate PM-10 nonattainment area plan for the Pagosa Springs area. The submittal was made to satisfy those moderate PM-10 nonattainment area SIP requirements which were due for Pagosa Springs on November 15, 1991.
- (2) On August 2, 1996, the Governor of Colorado submitted minor revisions to the Pagosa Springs Element of the Colorado PM-10 SIP.
- (c) On May 27, 1993, the Governor of Colorado submitted the moderate PM-10 nonattainment area plan for the Lamar area. The submittal was made to satisfy those moderate PM-10 nonattainment area SIP requirements which were due for Lamar on November 15, 1991.
- (d) On December 9, 1993, the Governor of Colorado submitted  $PM_{10}$  contingency measures for the moderate  $PM_{10}$  nonattainment areas of Canon City, Lamar, and Pagosa Springs. The submittal was made to satisfy the moderate  $PM_{10}$  nonattainment area requirements for contingency measures due for Canon City, Lamar, and Pagosa Springs on November 15, 1993.
- (e)(1) On January 15, 1992, March 17, 1993, and December 9, 1993, the Governor of Colorado submitted the moderate PM-10 nonattainment area plan for the Aspen area. The submittals were made to satisfy those moderate PM-10 nonattainment area SIP requirements which were due for Aspen on November 15, 1991. The December 9, 1993 submittal was also made to satisfy the PM-10 contingency measure requirements which were due for Aspen on November 15, 1993.
- (2) On March 13, 1995, the Governor of Colorado submitted minor revisions to the Aspen Element of the Colorado PM-10 SIP.
- (f) On March 30, 1995, and November 17, 1995, the Governor of Colorado submitted the moderate  $PM_{10}$  nonattainment area plan for the Denver area. The March 30, 1995 submittal was made to satisfy those moderate  $PM_{10}$  nonattainment area SIP requirements due for the Denver  $PM_{10}$  nonattainment